



# University of Minnesota Among Top Ten Racist Colleges and Universities

**A “12-step program” claimed to make white students aware of their innate “white supremacy.”**

In an effort to “deconstruct and decentralize whiteness,” the University of Minnesota’s Center for Practice Transformation and School of Social Work recently presented a “12-step program” to make white students aware of their innate “white supremacy.” This allegedly “anti-racist” course is the ultimate apotheosis of racism, telling whites that as a sole result of their skin color they endorse and promote racist beliefs and policies.

The course is presented in the form of an online “webinar” titled “Recovery from White Conditioning.” The official program description explains that “The Model of Recovery from White Conditioning, a derivative work based on the 12 steps of Alcoholics Anonymous, is rooted in love and accountability. It involves white people, working in our community to transform violent legacies of whiteness into healthier, white, anti-racist community...” The AA community has notably rejected this usurpation of their 12-step format for an “anti-racist” crusade.

The webinar is led by therapist and clinical supervisor Cristina Combs, a white woman who states that the program “is designed for white people to challenge and support each other to accept our responsibility for dismantling white supremacy, as it lives in us and around us.”

This is the very definition of racism—telling a group of white people that solely because of the color of their skin, white supremacy “lives in us.”

According to Combs, all white people are on a “spectrum of recovery” from white supremacy. She includes herself in that figure, even displaying a slide featuring a picture of herself with the words “The face of White Supremacy” beneath it. She tells her pupils that it is important to have conversations about how “good people” can be “complicit in perpetuating systemic racism and white supremacy” and that to do so we need to assert “that all of us as White folks are implicated, we are complicit, we all have work to do.”

Combs then delves into “The 12 Steps of Recovery from White Conditioning” which include: “We admitted that we had been socially conditioned by the ideology of white supremacy—that our minds were subject to racial biases, often unconsciously so;” “We came to believe that we could embrace our ignorance as an invitation to learn;” “We journeyed boldly inward, exploring and acknowledging ways in which white supremacist teachings have been integrated into our minds and spirits,” and “We confessed our mistakes and failing to ourselves and others.”

For presenting the racist ideology that whiteness is equivalent to the belief in and promotion of white supremacy, the University of Minnesota deserves a place on the most racist list.

## The Top Ten Most Racist Colleges and Universities

- #1: Harvard University
- #2: Smith College
- #3: University of Southern California
- #4: Georgetown University
- #5: University of Pittsburgh
- #6: University of Central Florida
- #7: University of Minnesota
- #8: Cornell University
- #9: Elon University
- #10: Brandeis University

# American Colleges Promote Racism in the Name of “Social Justice”

American colleges are awash in a crisis of racism—but not the same racism they claim to be fighting. Universities—both public and private—collectively spend hundreds of millions of dollars on diversity infrastructure and mandatory “anti-racism” training for both faculty and students. But instead of teaching the principles of the Constitution that inspired the Civil Rights Movement such as color blindness and equality of opportunity for all, these campus diversity programs promote their own sinister brand of racism, insisting that all white people are privileged racists and all “black and brown” people are inherently victims of white oppressors. Meanwhile, these universities practice systemic racism against whites and Asians in their admissions policies, all in the name of “social justice.”

As author David Horowitz elucidates in his new book, *The Enemy Within: How a Totalitarian Movement is Destroying America*, “Diversity Training programs are not about racial sensitivity. They are about the demonization

of white people and the constitutional order of individual freedom, equality and accountability the American Founders created.”

When President Trump, was asked by Fox News debate moderator Chris Wallace to justify his decision to end racial sensitivity training programs for federal agencies based on the doctrines of “white privilege” and “critical race theory,” he described his decision even more bluntly. “I ended it because it’s racist. I ended it because a lot of people were complaining that they were asked to do things that were absolutely insane. That it was a radical revolution that was taking place in our military, in our schools, all over the place. And you know it and so does everybody else.”

Both Harvard and Yale universities have faced civil rights lawsuits challenging this discrimination and have been forced into legal contortions in an effort to defend

their illegal and racist conduct. Other campuses, such as Cornell and Elon University have openly promoted racially restricted courses or programs on their websites in blatant violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Prominent schools such as the University of Pittsburgh are increasingly requiring students to enroll in mandatory “anti-racism” courses that deride truly anti-racist principles such as “color blindness” and “meritocracy” as “microaggressions” while promoting race-based hiring and admissions as the antidote to “systemic racism,” which is already illegal under the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

The following report exposes the Top Ten Most Racist Colleges and Universities in America and documents the myriad ways in which their actions and pronouncements directly contradict their social justice rhetoric and institute what is truly systemic racism.



# Harvard University Caught Discriminating Against Asians in Admissions

Harvard University is widely regarded as America's most prestigious university. It is also one of its most racist, deliberately using discriminatory and stereotypical ratings of Asian applicants' personalities as "lacking" and "one-dimensional" to reduce their chances of obtaining admission to the prestigious university.

In 2014, Harvard was sued in federal district court by a coalition named Students for Fair Admissions (SFFA) for allegedly violating Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 which prohibits all schools which receive federal funds from discriminating on the basis of race. The suit charged that Harvard discriminates against Asian applicants in undergraduate admissions decisions, using an admissions formula that hinders Asian applicants' chances of admission by consistently giving them a low "personal rating"—a subjective measure of personality traits such as kindness, courage, and likeability. Through an examination of Harvard's previously secret admissions data, SFFA was able to show that Asian-American applicants to Harvard face rampant racial discrimination.



Peter Arcidiacono, an economist at Duke University who testified in court on behalf of SFFA, concluded that Asian-American applicants have the lowest chance of admission to Harvard out of all races despite scoring highest in all objective measurements of achievement. "Race plays a significant role in admissions decisions," Arcidiacono wrote in his expert report. "Consider the example of an Asian-American applicant who is male, is not disadvantaged, and has other characteristics that result in a 25% chance of admission. Simply changing the race of this applicant to white—and leaving all his other characteristics the same—would increase his chance of admission to 36%. Changing his race to Hispanic (and leaving all other characteristics the same) would increase his chance of admission to 77%. Changing his race to African-American (again, leaving all other characteristics the same) would increase his chance of admission to 95%."

"Despite being more academically qualified than the other three major racial/ethnic groups (whites, African Americans, and Hispanics), Asian-American applicants have the lowest admissions rates," Arcidiacono contin-

ues. "In fact, data produced by Harvard show that this has been true for every admissions cycle for the classes of 2000 to 2019."

Professor Arcidiacono was able to trace this discrepancy in admissions rates to the highly subjective "personal rating" which admissions officers at Harvard assign to applicants. "Asian-American applicants, however, do not score as well on the Personal Rating and the Overall Rating relative to other racial/ethnic groups—especially when compared to other groups within the same academic index deciles," he writes. "But there is no observable reason why this should be so; the testimony from officers and leaders of the Admissions Office is that there is nothing about Asian Americans as a group that would suggest they have less attractive personal qualities. Ratings given by alumni interviewers do not show this pattern."

"It turns out that the suspicions of Asian-American alumni, students and applicants were right all along," Students for Fair Admissions concluded in a court document. "Harvard today engages in the same kind

of discrimination and stereotyping that it used to justify quotas on Jewish applicants in the 1920s and 1930s."

"What Harvard will not admit (but the record shows) is that race is not only an important factor, it is the dominant consideration in admitting Hispanics and African Americans," William Consovoy, who represents Students for Fair Admissions, stated in a court filing.

The United States Department of Justice under President Donald Trump joined SFFA in countering Harvard's discrimination, filing an amicus brief arguing that Harvard University racially profiles its applicants during the admissions process and imposes "a racial penalty by systematically disfavoring Asian-American applicants." In November 2020, the First Circuit Court of Appeals refused to overturn an earlier ruling in favor of Harvard. As of February 2021, SFFA has petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court to consider the case.

## The Enemy Within

by David Horowitz

A questionable election, a president silenced and illegally impeached; a First Amendment imperiled, a national heritage under attack; America is on the brink of becoming a one-party state.

To order call 800.752.6562 or go to [www.frontpagemag.com](http://www.frontpagemag.com)

**ORDER NOW!**

*New York Times* bestselling author, David Horowitz

